I						
1 2	DANIEL W. MAGUIRE, State Bar No. 120002 E-mail: dmaguire@bwslaw.com KEIKO J. KOJIMA, State Bar No. 206595					
3	E-mail: kkojima@bwslaw.com BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP					
4	444 South Flower Street Suite 2400					
5	Los Angeles, California 90071-2953 (213) 236-0600; Fax (213) 236-2700					
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff The Guardian Insurance					
7	and Annuity Company, Inc.					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
10						
11	THE GUARDIAN INSURANCE AND ANNUITY COMPANY, INC., a	Case No: C 07 3557 CRB				
12	corporation,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED				
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER				
14	vs.	Date: May 9, 2008				
15	CARLA M. UNTERMANN, an individual; PHILLIP UNTERMANN,	Time: 8:30 a.m. Ctrm: "8" – 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor				
16	an individual; BRENDA CAMILLI, an individual; JOANNE L. GRIGGS, an	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer				
17	individual; LISA CAMILLI, an individual; KATHLEEN BUTLER					
18	CAMILLI, an individual; RICHARD L. CAMILLI, an individual; DOLPH F.					
19	CAMILLI, an individual; DIANNE R. ABRAHAM, an individual; DOUGLAS					
$\frac{20}{21}$	J. CAMILLI, an individual; BRUCE P. CAMILLI, an individual; SPCA OF					
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA; DIANNE R. ABRAHAM, as Successor					
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	Trustee of the Dolph L. Camilli Bypass Trust; WILLIAM H. SHEA, JR., as					
23	Successor Trustee of the Gerda M. Camilli Survivor Trust; CHRIS					
24   25	CAMILLI, an individual, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,					
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	Defendants.					
20   27						
- '						

LA #4847-2185-1906 v1 1 Case No. C 07 3557 CRB

Plaintiff The Guardian Insurance and Annuity Company, Inc. ("the Guardian"), and Defendants Diane R. Abraham, as an individual and as Successor Trustee Of The Dolph L. Camilli Bypass Trust, William H. Shea, Jr., as Successor Trustee Of The Gerda M. Camilli Survivor Trust, and the Peninsula Humane Society & SPCA (incorrectly named in the complaint as "SPCA of San Mateo, California"), jointly submit this Case Management Statement and request the Court to adopt it as its Case Management Order in this case<sup>1</sup>:

# JURISDICTION AND SERVICE

1. Jurisdiction is based on diversity. All parties have been served.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE/CASE STATUS

2. This is an interpleader action involving multiple defendants. The case is nearing resolution. The potential adverse claimants to the annuity contract proceeds at issue have waived their rights as beneficiaries of the contracts and have agreed that the proceeds be distributed to the Defendant Trustees pursuant to the November 17, 2006 order issued by the Superior Court of San Mateo County, California.

The parties are now in the process of preparing a stipulation for discharge, dismissal, and liquidation of the annuity contracts which will resolve the issues in this lawsuit and dismiss this case with prejudice. The stipulation will also include the Defendants' agreement that it will constitute a general appearance on behalf of all Defendants. Accordingly, due to the case's resolution, the Defendants do not anticipate filing responsive pleadings at this time before the Court's deadline of

<sup>1</sup> The rest of the Defendants are in pro per and concur that the case will be resolved.

2

1

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

13 14

12

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

April 25, 2008. The Defendants request that this deadline be extended to August 15, 2008, to allow time for the parties to execute and submit the stipulation.

The parties anticipate that the stipulation will be submitted within the next 60 days to accomplish the translation of the stipulation into German and to obtain signatures from all 13 Defendants. Moreover, two of the Defendants are located outside the country. (Defendant Carla Untermann resides in Germany and Defendant Phillip Untermann resides in Switzerland).

#### ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

3. Due to the parties' agreement on the distribution of the annuity proceeds and intention to submit the stipulation for discharge, dismissal, and liquidation, the parties do not elect an alternative dispute resolution at this time.

## **DISCLOSURES**

4. Due to the parties' agreement and anticipated case resolution, the parties do not intend on serving initial disclosures at this time. The Defendants will serve initial disclosures if this case is not resolved by the stipulation.

#### **DISCOVERY**

5. Due to the parties' agreement and anticipated case resolution, the parties do not have any plans for discovery at this time. The Defendants will submit a discovery plan if this case is not resolved by the stipulation.

LA #4847-2185-1906 v1

1		MOTIONS	
2			
3	6.	Due to the parties' agreement and anticipated case resolution, the	
4	parties do n	ot anticipate filing any motions at this time.	
5			
6	TRIAL SCHEDULE		
7			
8	7.	Due to the anticipated dismissal of this case within the next 60 days,	
9	the parties do not request a trial date. Alternatively, the parties propose that the		
10	trial be held in January 2009.		
11			
12		MISCELLANEOUS	
13			
14	8.	The parties do not intend to join any additional parties or amend their	
15	pleadings.		
16			
17	9.	None of the parties consent to assignment of this case to a United	
18	States Magistrate Judge for trial.		
19			
20	10.	The parties believe that this case is not suitable for reference to	
21	binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict		
22	Litigation.		
23			
24	11.	The parties do not believe that this is a case that should be handled on	
25	an expedite	d schedule.	
26			
27	12.	There are no related cases.	
28			

LA #4847-2185-1906 v1 4

1	13. Plaintiff Guardian has filed its notice of interested parties.	
2		
3	DATED: April 30, 2008	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN G. CLARK
4		
5		By: /s/ John G. Clark  (As authorized on April 30, 2008)  JOHN G. CLARK
6		Attorney for DIANE R. ABRAHAM, as an individual and as Successor Trustee
7		of the Dolph L. Camilli Bypass Trust
8		
9	DATED: April 24, 2008	KEITH P. BARTEL JEFFREY R. LOEW
10		CARR, McCLELLAN, INGERSOLL, THOMPSON & HORN
11		
12		By: /s/ Jeffrey R. Loew
13		(As authorized on April 24, 2008) JEFFREY R. LOEW
14		Attorneys for WILLIAM H. SHEA, JR., as Successor Trustee of the Gerda M. Camilli Survivor Trust
15		Camin Survivor Trust
16		
17	DATED: April 30, 2008	DANIEL W. MAGUIRE KEIKO J. KOJIMA
18		BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
19		
20		By: <u>/s/ Keiko J. Kojima</u> KEIKO J. KOJIMA
21		Attorneys for The Guardian Insurance
22		and Annuity Company, Inc
23	DATED: April 24, 2008	KIMBERLEY A. FONNER
24		LAW OFFICE OF KIMBERLEY A. FONNER
25		Dur /s/Vimbarlay Forman
26		By: /s/Kimberley Fonner (As authorized on April 24, 2008) KIMBERLEY A. FONNER
27		Attorney for Peninsula Humane Society & SPCA
28		

LA #4847-2185-1906 v1 5 Case No. C 07 3557 CRB

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	THE GUARDIAN INSURANCE AND ANNUITY COMPANY, INC., a	CaseNio: CCO7733557/CRRB	
12	corporation,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER	
14	VS.	Date: May 9, 2008	
15	CARLA M. UNTERMANN, et al.,	Date: May 9, 2008 Time: 8:30 a.m. Ctrm: "8" – 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
16	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer	
17			
18	CASE MANA	AGEMENT ORDER	
19		IGENIENT ORDER	
20	The Case Management Statement	and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by	
21	the Court as the Case Management Order	r for the case and the parties are ordered to	
22	comply with this Order. In addition, the Court orders:		
23			
24	DATED:		
25	Ur	nited States District Court Judge	
26			
27			
28			